

Handling Relatedness among Subjects through Linear Mixed-Effects Modeling in Neuroimaging Poster #: 623



Gang Chen¹, Sanaz Khosravani², Kristina Simonyan², Robert W. Cox¹ ¹Scientific and Statistical Computing Core, NIMH / NIH / DHHS, USA; ²Dystonia and Speech Motor Control Laboratory, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, MGH, USA Contact: gangchen@mail.nih.gov



Relatedness among subjects Solving LME model Subjects from large studies (e.g., ABCD) Whole-brain voxel-wise analysis • Program available in AFNI: 3dlmer (Chen et al., 2013) o Some subjects are from same families Siblings, monozygotic or dizygotic twins, triplets, half siblings, cousins Implementation using R package Ime4 (Bates et al., 2015) Parent-child o Shell scripting interface Flexibility in specifying various random-effects structures o How to accurately characterize the relatedness in the populationo Main effects, interactions and effect comparisons level model? Runtime with demo dataset: 40 min (16 CPUs) Model formulation **Characterization of relatedness** o Effect decomposition through linear mixed-effects model Intraclass correlation o Relatedness captured through a two-level structure Extent of similarity between two members in the same family Families $\text{ICC} = \frac{\tau_0^2 + \tau_1^2}{\tau_0^2 + \tau_1^2 + \sigma^2}$ · Subjects within each family **Results** Demo dataset Data structure: 3 groups Comparison: Group 2 (patients) vs Group 1 (controls • Group 1 (controls with no relatives): $n_1=32$, age 50.2 ± 11.0 years, with no relatives) 20 F/12 M o Effects shown instead of statistical evidence as typically practiced o Group 2 (patients): n₂=21, age 56.2±15.8 years, 19 F/2 M o Full results shown without artificial and arbitrary thresholding • Group 3 (controls with relatives in Group 2): $n_3=21$, 48.6±16.2 o Avoiding illusionary dichotomization of "truth" and "falsehood" years; 17 F/4 M Relatedness o Each subject in group 2: genetically related to one in group 3 o Relationship: 10 parent-child, 7 full siblings, 3 cousins, 1 great nephew Model construction LME formulation (Bates et al., 2015) $y_{ij} = \boldsymbol{x}_{ij}^T \boldsymbol{a} + z_{0j} + z_{1j} u_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$ $\circ y_{ii}$: effect of subject *i* in family *j* Relatedness in the brain: ICC o xii: subject-level covariates (e.g., group, sex, age) o Extent of effect similarity between Group 2 and Group 3 \circ a: effects associated with the covariates x_{ii} $\circ z_{0i}$: effect for family j $\circ z_{1j}$: relatedness effect with family j $\circ u_{ii}$: relatedness indicator $\circ \epsilon_{ii}$: residual associated subject *i* in family *j* Assumptions o Linearity • Gaussianity: $z_{0j} \sim N(0, \tau_0^2), z_{1j} \sim N(0, \tau_1^2), \varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ References Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using Ime4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1):1-48. Chen, G., Saad, Z.S., Britton, J.C., Pine, D.S., Cox, R.W. (2013). Linear Program available in AFNI: 3dlmer

Acknowledgements
The research was supported by the NIMH & NINDS Intramural Research Programs of the NIH.

Mixed-Effects Modeling Approach to FMRI Group Analysis. NeuroImage

73:176-190.