## Irregular Stimulus Timing: Analysis with 3dDeconvolve

- 3dDeconvolve is set up to calculate response functions h(t) when stimuli occur locked to image acquisition TR interval
  - $\diamond$  The <code>-stim\_nptr</code> option allows stimuli to occur at intervals of TR/p, where p is a small integer
  - ♦ But it <u>seems</u> like there is no way to do deconvolution for a completely irregular stimulus pattern
- The <u>waver</u> -tstim option does allow you to input irregular stimulus timing and generate a synthetic response
  - $\diamond$  The result is the convolution of the hemodynamic response function h(t) with a sequence of  $\delta$ -functions at the stimulus times
  - $\diamond h(t)$  is chosen using the -GAM, -WAV, or -EXPR options to waver
- Simulating a time series:
  - $\diamond$  waver -dt 2.0 -GAM -peak 1 -tstim 'cat tstim.1D' > ideal.1D
  - $\diamond$  File tstim.1D (30 stimulus times, averaging about 6 s apart):

22.6 31.4 35.8 40.6 42.8 50.0 58.6 66.2 64.8 77.8 85.0 88.6 96.8 102.4 106.6 111.0 119.2 123.6 131.2 132.8 142.4 150.8 154.8 163.4 167.0 174.6 178.6 182.0 190.2 196.4

 $\diamond$  Graph of response <u>ideal.1D</u> (circles/dashed lines) with triangles  $\triangle$  at <u>tstim.1D</u> stimulus times, for 106 volumes to be simulated at TR=2 s:



- ♦ Despite appearances, this is <u>without noise</u>: fluctuations are just from different overlaps of hemodynamic response, since stimuli are not evenly spaced in time
- ♦ Goal of deconvolution is to retrieve hemodynamic response function (amplitude and shape) in each voxel, and test whether it is significantly different from zero

• Deconvolution, in the earlier presentations, models h(t) itself as a sequence of evenly spaced  $\delta$ -functions

$$h(t) = \sum_{a= ext{minlag}}^{ ext{maxlag}} \lambda_a \cdot \delta(t-a \cdot ext{TR})$$

- $\diamond$  Goal is to calculate the amplitude  $\lambda_a$  of each  $\delta$ -function (in each voxel)
- $\diamond$  Drawback to this description of h(t) is that it says nothing about what the response is at times between the TR (image data) grid
- $\hookrightarrow$  That's OK when stimuli are on the TR grid, but not when stimulus times are arbitrary
- $\diamond$  Need to model h(t) so can calculate response at an arbitrary time after the stimulus, not just at a fixed set of times
- Solution is to write response function h(t) as the sum of a small number of basis functions:

$$h(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_a} \lambda_a \cdot \psi_a(t)$$

- $\diamond$  Each  $\psi_a(t)$  is a fixed function and the unknown amplitudes  $\lambda_a$  are to be determined from data (for each voxel)
- $\diamond$  Must choose the  $\psi_a(t)$  basis functions based on the duration and shape of response you expect

- Basis functions:
  - $\diamond$  Gamma variate with derivative:

$$egin{aligned} \psi_1(t) &= t^b \exp(-t/ au) \ \psi_2(t) &= rac{d}{dt} \psi_1(t) \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed b, au parameters [SPM approach]



- $\hookrightarrow \text{Basic idea: time shifted response } \psi_1(t+\Delta) \approx \psi_1(t) + \Delta \cdot \psi_1'(t) \text{ for small } \Delta$
- $\hookrightarrow$  Using both functions allows for small time shifts (0–3 s) in h(t) which using only  $\psi_1(t)$  does not





- $\diamondsuit$  Other possibilities include trigonometric and sinc functions
- $\hookrightarrow$  Both are common bases for function approximation in numerical analysis
- $\diamond$  Want to keep number of basis functions  $N_a$  small, so that model for signal doesn't have too many parameters

- Generate a simulated dataset with the <u>ideal.1D</u> time series in every voxel (with varying amplitude), a baseline, and some noise (with varying standard deviation):
  - ♦ Make a 1 slice dataset from something handy, just to use as a template: 3dZcutup -prefix zcut -keep 10 10 epi07+orig
  - $\diamond$  Calculate a dataset with this geometry (64×64×1) with the <u>ideal.1D</u> function intensity and noise variance varying in 8×8 blocks across the image:

```
3dcalc -a 'zcut+orig[0]' \
 -b ideal.1D \
 -datum float \
 -prefix sim:time \
 -expr '100+(int(i/8)+1)*0.25*b+int(j/8)*0.25*gran(0,1)'
```

- $\hookrightarrow$  3dcalc is the voxel-by-voxel dataset calculator program
- $\hookrightarrow$  <u>-a</u> 'zcut+orig[0]' means to read in the #0 sub-brick of this dataset and call its voxel values by the symbol <u>a</u>
- $\hookrightarrow$  <u>-b</u> ideal.1D means to read in this time series file and call its values by the symbol <u>b</u> (since this has no spatial dimension, each spatial voxel from <u>b</u> at a given time index will have the same value, unlike from <u>a</u>)
  - ▷ Since the <u>-a</u> dataset doesn't have a time axis, the <u>-b</u> time series will be used to provide a time axis in the output of 106 points (same length as <u>ideal.1D</u>)

- $\hookrightarrow$  <u>-datum float</u> means to write the output dataset in floating point format (don't need to deal with scaling issues then)
- $\hookrightarrow$  -prefix sim:time is the prefix part of the output dataset filename
- - Dataset a was only read in to act as a template for the output dataset (i.e., to set up its spatial axes and dimensions)
  - ▷ Since there was no <u>-i</u> or <u>-j</u> option, 3dcalc assigns the x-axis voxel index to the symbol <u>i</u> (range=0..63) and the y-axis voxel index to the symbol <u>j</u> (range=0..63)
  - $\triangleright$  100 is the baseline
  - $\triangleright \frac{(int(i/8)+1)}{wide}$  ranges 1..8 across image horizontally, in blocks 8 voxels
    - (int(i/8)+1)\*0.25\*b gives signal from 0.25 to 2 times b
  - $\triangleright$  int(j/8) ranges 0..7 across image vertically, in blocks 8 voxels wide
    - int(j/8) \* 0.25 \* gran(0,1) gives noise increasing down image, in blocks
    - gran(0,1)=Gaussian deviate with mean=0, standard deviation=1
- $\diamond$  Result is spatially square 3D+time dataset with varying amounts of signal and noise that we can use for testing

♦ Simple regression analysis with 3dDeconvolve:



 $\hookrightarrow$  In AFNI:

- Set Function→Func to sub-brick #4 Response[0] Coef
- Set Function  $\rightarrow$  Thr to sub-brick #5 Response[0] t-tstat
- Set Function  $\rightarrow **$  to 1; slider to  $p \approx 1.0-4$  (t $\approx 4$ )
- Set Function→Pos ON; pbar # to 11
- Set Datamode  $\rightarrow$  Misc  $\rightarrow$  Voxel Coords ON
- Turn on See Function, open Axial Image
- Noise increases downwards; signal leftwards





- However, our goal is deconvolution analysis, not simple regression
  - ♦ Assume hemodynamic response to individual stimulus lasts no more than 12 s (depends on type of stimulus)
  - $\hookrightarrow$  Actual waver -GAM function is significant only from 2..10 s post-stimulus
  - $\diamond$  Model it as the sum of 3 "tent" functions:



 $\diamond$  We generate response time series for each of the 3 basis functions tent(t/4), tent((t-4)/4), and tent((t-8)/8):



 $\diamond$  Then we run 3dDeconvolve with these 3 regressors:



- Function $\rightarrow$ Func = #4 tent4[0] Coef
- Function  $\rightarrow$  Thr = #8 Full F-stat
- Function  $\rightarrow ** = 1$ ; slider  $p \approx 1.0-4$  (F $\approx 7.8$ )
- Function $\rightarrow$ Pos ON; # = 11





↑Regression output (from before)↑

♦ To calculate hemodynamic response function in each voxel, need to combine basis functions with amplitudes from output dataset sim\_decon\_stats+orig:

- $\hookrightarrow$  Symbols a, b, c are for 3D (no time) volumes
- $\hookrightarrow -dt~0.1~\text{sets}~\text{TR}$  for manufactured 3D+time dataset to 0.1 s
- $\hookrightarrow$  -taxis 121 sets the number of time points to 121
  - ▷ Need this since all input datasets are 3D only no time axes
- $\hookrightarrow$  Symbol t is time in -expr [default symbol value]
- ♦ Also make a 1D file with the true gamma deviate hemodynamic response function, for comparison: waver -GAM -dt 0.1 > gamma.1D

 $\diamond$  In AFNI:

- $\hookrightarrow$  Switch Anatomy to sim:hrf\_fit; open Axial Graph
- $\hookrightarrow$  FIM $\rightarrow$ Pick Ideal and choose gamma.1D timeseries
- $\hookrightarrow \texttt{Opt} {\rightarrow} \texttt{Colors, Etc.} {\rightarrow} \texttt{Ideal:} \quad \texttt{Use Thick Lines ON}$
- $\hookrightarrow$  Opt $\rightarrow$ Colors, Etc. $\rightarrow$ Grid color to lt-blue2 (say)
- $\hookrightarrow$  Opt $\rightarrow$ Baseline $\rightarrow$ Global ON; Opt $\rightarrow$ Baseline $\rightarrow$ Set Global to -1
- $\hookrightarrow$  Press **h** key to get horizontal line at y=0; press **a** to autoscale



## Other 3dDeconvolve Tricks

- Program <u>3dTshift</u> lets you time shift (interpolate) the slices in a 3D+time dataset to the same time origin
  - $\diamond$  Not necessarily a good idea
- Program <u>3dvolreg</u> is used to do image registration or realignment (subject of another presentation)
  - $\diamond$  Time shifting can also be done in 3dvolreg
  - ◊ You can also use estimated movement parameters from 3dvolreg as "regressors of no interest" (RONI) in 3dDeconvolve
  - ♦ The idea is to get rid of any residual effects correlated to the subject's movement
  - However, you don't want to have lags for these RONI, since physical effects of movement on image are immediate
  - ♦ Also, you don't want to include the RONI in the F statistic map, which means you need to use the -stim\_base option for these regressors
- Option <u>-nodata</u> can be used to evaluate the regression design to determine if it is well-determined
- Option <u>-input1D</u> can be used to do the regression on a single time series file (instead of on an entire dataset of time series)

- Option -mask restricts the analysis to just a specified set of voxels (for speed)
  - ◇ Program <u>3dAutomask</u> can be used to automatically make a mask dataset from a 3D+time input dataset: <u>3dAutomask</u> -prefix Fred\_mask Fred\_time+orig
- Program <u>3dTcat</u> can be used to catenate multiple 3D+time datasets into one big file for input into 3dDeconvolve
  - ♦ Option <u>-concat</u> is then needed to tell 3dDeconvolve where each individual imaging runs starts
    - $\hookrightarrow$  So response from stimulus at end of run #1 doesn't "bleed" into run #2
    - $\hookrightarrow$  So each run can have its own baseline parameters
- Option <u>-polort</u> lets you specify a higher order (than linear) polynomial to use as the baseline model in the regression
- Option <u>-progress 1000</u> will print (to screen) intermediate results every 1000 voxels
- Program <u>3dDeconvolve\_f</u> does all calculations in single precision
  ⇒ is about 40% faster than 3dDeconvolve
- If you have a multi-CPU machine with shared memory (SMP), <u>-jobs</u> № option lets you spread computations over № processes ⇒ Significant speedup results on dual-CPU Linux machines
- You need to read the 3dDeconvolve manual!

## 3dDeconvolve Script

- This is an experimental script to help you run <u>3dDeconvolve</u> with irregular stimulus timing
- It asks you a sequence of questions: for names of regressor files, etc.
- It runs waver to create regressors from stimulus timing
- ullet It allows the use of basis functions to model h(t)
- It graphs the regressors from waver
- It runs 3dDeconvolve to fit the model
- It runs <code>3dcalc</code> to combine basis functions to give h(t) in each voxel
- Currently written in Matlab; plans are to rewrite in a free language (Python?)
- Available on request

## Nonlinear Regression to Model a Time Series

- For some applications, a nonlinear model may make most sense
  - $\diamond$  Single event FMRI: where you have only one stimulus in an imaging run, and are not sure of the form the response will take
  - ♦ Pharmaceutical injections; Changing subject's affect by video presentation
- Program 3dNLFIM and plugin NLfit let you model time series in each voxel in an arbitrary way
  - ◊ You provide a "model function" in C that returns the model time series, given a set of parameters
  - Program/plugin drive the model to find the set of parameters that best fits
    each data time series
  - ♦ 3DNLfim program produces F-statistic for goodness-of-fit test
  - ◇ NLfit plugin produces fitted time series for graphical (Double Plot) exploration
- Nonlinear constraints let you put arbitrary boundaries on what the fitting model will look for; for example:
  - $\diamond$  Require positive responses
  - $\diamond$  Don't allow shape parameters b and c in  $At^be^{-t/c}$  to be "unreasonable"