

Fiber Tracking: Concepts and Applications

Aaron S. Field¹, MD, PhD and Andrew L. Alexander², PhD

Departments of ¹Radiology, ¹Biomedical Engineering, ²Medical Physics, and ²Psychiatry
University of Wisconsin Medical School, Madison, WI, USA

Introduction

Standard clinical MR images, however anatomically detailed, generally do not allow the assessment of specific white matter (WM) fiber tracts. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and DTI-based fiber tracking (“tractography”) have enabled unprecedented, *in vivo* visualization of individual WM tracts and their relationships with cerebral pathology. While much of the work in this field remains experimental, DTI is currently making its way into the clinical realm. It is no longer necessary to have a team of physicists and image processing specialists on hand in order to obtain DTI with tractography in a clinical setting. As tractography makes further inroads into clinical practice, an understanding of basic principles and applications will become increasingly important to neuroimaging specialists. This presentation begins with a brief summary of the basic principles underlying tractography, followed by a review of practical clinical applications including potential pitfalls. Time and space limitations prohibit a review of normal WM fiber tract anatomy but several references to DTI-specific anatomical reviews are provided.

Principles of DTI Tractography

The orientation of the diffusion tensor major eigenvector is generally assumed to be parallel to the local white matter fascicles. These directional patterns may be visualized using 2D color maps representing the major eigenvector direction, the most common example being the use of red, green, and blue (RGB) color channels to represent left-right, anterior-posterior, and superior-inferior directions, respectively [1]. Color intensity is often weighted by an index of diffusion anisotropy (most commonly the fractional anisotropy [FA]), yielding a convenient summary map from which the degree of anisotropy and the local fiber direction can be determined. Such maps are particularly appealing because anyone familiar with normal fiber tract anatomy can readily survey the organization of the major tracts by paging through the 2D sections just as standard clinical MR images are typically viewed. Moreover, the relationship of a lesion to specific tracts in the region is often readily assessed. Similar directional maps have been made using a wide variety of display strategies but the RGB approach is probably the most common.

Another approach to depicting white matter connection patterns is to employ mathematical algorithms that attempt to follow the trajectories of individual fiber tracts in 3D. Such trajectories are estimated by starting at a specified location (known as the “seed” point), estimating the direction of propagation (often defined by the major eigenvector), and moving a small distance in that direction. The tract direction is then re-estimated and another small step is taken. This process is repeated until some predetermined criterion for terminating the tract has been met. The

resulting “tractograms” may be displayed in a variety of ways using 3D computer-graphical techniques.

Most tractography algorithms estimate a single discrete trajectory for each seed point location. Many of these algorithms use the major eigenvector to estimate the tangent of the trajectory for a white matter fiber bundle [2-4] although tracing methods based upon the full diffusion tensor field have also been developed [5-7]. Seed locations are usually defined either globally over the entire brain or in a user-specified region. Tracts are typically propagated in both forward and reverse directions until some termination criterion is met; commonly employed criteria include: intersecting a voxel where the anisotropy is below a specified threshold or encountering an excessively sharp “bend” between steps along the putative tract. Tracts may be defined by constraining them to pass through one or more specified regions of interest [8,9].

Tractography algorithms are capable of generating anatomically plausible estimates of white matter trajectories in the brain and they have been used to depict major projection pathways (e.g. pyramidal tract, internal capsule, corona radiata), commissural pathways (e.g. corpus callosum, anterior commissure), and association pathways (e.g. arcuate, frontooccipital, and uncinate fasciculi) [8-12]. Of course, it must be remembered that a given DTI-based trajectory does not, indeed cannot, correspond directly to an actual axonal fiber tract, given the discrepancy of scale between the microstructural anatomy and the spatial resolution of clinical imaging. This point is easily forgotten when admiring the aesthetic depictions of WM anatomy that tractograms offer.

Terminology

As clinical tractography is still in its infancy, published reports frequently employ inconsistent terminology in describing pathologically altered tracts. Such descriptive terms as “disruption,” “displacement,” “deviation,” “deformation,” “destruction,” “degeneration” (the “D” words), “infiltration,” “interruption,” and “splaying” are frequently used in the context of DTI-tractography without precise definitions. For example, there are at least two dictionary definitions for “disrupt:” (1) “to break apart or interrupt the normal unity of,” or (2) “to throw into disorder.” Thus, “disrupted” is an ambiguous term that can mean either “interrupted” (i.e. tract continuity has been broken) or “disorganized” (i.e. the tract has been infiltrated and is less ordered than normal but it is not broken). Adding to this ambiguity is the possibility of partial interruption, i.e., some of the fibers within a given tract may be broken while others remain intact. In the spirit of encouraging the use of consistent terminology amongst DTI-tractography investigators, the following definitions are suggested:

Deviation: Any portion of tract course is altered by bulk mass effect while maintaining tract coherence, with “coherence” implying that multiple adjacent fiber trajectories follow parallel pathways or they diverge/converge in an ordered fashion. “Deviation” is preferred over “displacement” because it is more specific and informative. (For example, road repairs may “displace” traffic without providing an alternate route; “deviated” implies that the flow of traffic is rerouted around the repairs.)

Infiltration: Any portion of a tract shows significantly reduced anisotropy while retaining sufficiently ordered structure to allow its identification on directional color maps and to allow fiber tracking to proceed. Note that infiltration by tumor is not discriminated from infiltration by edema as this cannot yet be reliably done.

Interruption: Any portion of a tract is visibly discontinuous on anisotropy-weighted directional color maps, and/or fiber tracking is discontinuous despite reasonable relaxation of termination criteria. ("Reasonable" termination criteria are those that impede the generation of recognizably spurious tracts but do not necessarily penalize fiber tracking for low anisotropy, provided excessively sharp turns are adequately avoided.) "Interruption" is preferred over the more ambiguous "disruption" and the more pathologically definitive "destruction." Note also that a tract may be interrupted either partially or completely.

Degeneration: A tract characterized by significantly reduced size and/or anisotropy at a substantial distance from a lesion affecting the same neural pathway (either cortical or subcortical), such that secondary Wallerian degeneration rather than infiltration can reasonably be presumed. (Example: a chronically atrophic-appearing pyramidal tract in the brainstem, distal to a non-infiltrating lesion of the corona radiata).

Splaying: A tract separated by a lesion into distinct bundles deviated in different directions.

Although these definitions are not perfect (e.g. a severely deviated or infiltrated tract might be mistaken for an interrupted one), they represent a reasonable approach given the limitations inherent to DTI and tractography. Note also that these definitions are not mutually exclusive; e.g. a tract may be both deviated and infiltrated, deviated and interrupted, etc.

Clinical Applications

The clinical role of DTI-tractography is currently being defined. Unlike standard diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), which quickly became indispensable based on its application to acute stroke, there is not yet a "killer app" for tractography (killer app: computer-science jargon for the particular application of a technology that, by itself, makes the technology popular and successful). Broadly categorized, DTI-tractography applications include: *tissue characterization* (e.g., discriminating infiltrating tumor from edema in T2-hyperintense regions), *lesion localization* (e.g., determining the specific tract involved by a WM lesion) and *per se tract mapping* (e.g., preoperative mapping of a tract deviated by a tumor).

Problems of tissue characterization have typically been addressed using scalar DTI parameters, most commonly ADC and FA, on a voxel-wise basis. A large number of studies have shown these parameters to be more sensitive to pathology than standard clinical MRI, based on changes found in the so-called "normal-appearing" WM. Unfortunately, this high sensitivity is accompanied by low specificity, particularly in the case of FA. Thus, while the common DTI parameters might have appeal as imaging endpoints in clinical trials (based on their sensitivity to subclinical pathological changes), their day-to-day clinical utility is currently quite limited. Recent efforts to derive greater pathological specificity from DTI parameters have focused on

directionally specific (e.g., longitudinal, transverse) diffusivities and other features of the diffusion tensor but the clinical role of these emerging techniques is not yet defined.

Lesion localization is a more straightforward application of DTI-tractography than tissue characterization, a few anatomical controversies notwithstanding [13,14]. The ability to localize lesions to specific tracts on imaging has obvious importance to the clinician attempting to correlate a patient's disease with his clinical presentation and findings on neurological examination. For example, the correlation between conventional imaging findings and clinical disability in MS is notoriously poor; this is due, at least in part, to the lack of functional specificity in standard imaging-based estimates of disease burden (e.g., the total number or volume of lesions on T1-/T2-weighted images). DTI-tractography enables more functionally specific estimates of disease burden ("importance sampling" [15]) which better correlate with clinical disability. This approach may be similarly applied in the setting of focal infarcts [16,17].

Although DTI-based, 3D fiber tracking can yield such quantitative measures as "connectivity" (the strength and/or likelihood of any functional connection between multiple cortical/subcortical areas) [18] or "fiber density" (the number of fiber trajectories identified per voxel in a region of interest) [19], in the clinical setting the technique is used primarily as a visualization tool. For example, DTI-tractography is uniquely suited to depict the aberrant fiber connections of various congenital/developmental anomalies [20,21] or the deviation of a fiber tract by a space-occupying mass [12,22,23]. Some investigators have integrated DTI-based tractography with cortical mapping using fMRI [24-27] or intraoperative electrocortical stimulation [28,29], in some cases using the results of cortical mapping to provide seed locations to the tractography algorithm. Preoperative tractography can provide confirmation that a tumor-deviated tract remains intact and potentially facilitate preservation of the tract during resection. This application is probably the best known to date although studies proving clinical utility are still relatively few. In one of the largest published series to date, twice as many functional systems were localized to within 5mm of tumor borders when DTI and fMRI were used in preoperative planning compared to fMRI alone, and regional complication rates were quite low compared to those without functional mapping [30].

Limitations and Pitfalls

There are several limitations of tractography that should be considered. Estimates of the eigenvector directions, and hence the local tract directions, are sensitive to image noise and assorted artifacts (ghosting, misregistration, motion) that can reduce the accuracy of the DTI data and ultimately the tracts derived from these data. Crossing pathways are particularly problematic as most algorithms (particularly those based upon the major eigenvector) are unable to resolve them. For example, the many intersecting pathways in the centrum semiovale, including corpus callosum, superior longitudinal fasciculus and corona radiata, create problems for the mapping of trajectories through this region. As a result, most reconstructions of the corpus callosum and corticospinal tract show connections only to medial cortical areas, whereas lateral connections are known to exist. New diffusion imaging methods, such as HARDI (High Angular Diffusion Imaging) [31,32], QBI (Q-Ball Imaging) [33], CHARMED (Composite Hindered and Restricted Model of Diffusion) [34], and DSI (Diffusion Spectrum Imaging) [33,35], promise more accurate depictions of intersecting tracts; investigators have just begun to perform tractography

using diffusion image data obtained with these advanced methods, with promising results [36]. Note, however, that these methods require much higher diffusion-weighting (typically 3,000-15,000 s/mm²) and take much more time to acquire.

Other technical problems remain to be solved before tractography can be considered a reliable technique in the setting of clinical pathology. Consider, for example, one of the best known and most promising clinical applications of DTI-tractography—the preoperative assessment of brain tumors. A fiber tract that is deviated by a non-infiltrating tumor with no associated edema presents the most straightforward case for DTI-based tract mapping; high anisotropy is generally preserved in such a tract, allowing it to be readily identified on directional color maps and traced around the tumor with fiber tracking techniques. Such examples are becoming commonplace in the literature and at scientific meetings but intraoperative correlations and outcomes analyses are not so common and the published experience of some investigators raises some serious concerns. For instance, intraoperative tract mapping by evoked potentials analysis has revealed errors in preoperative, DTI-based assessments of tract size and proximity to tumors [37]. There are several potential sources of such error, including misregistration of multi-modality images and shifting of the brain during craniotomy and tumor resection [38].

Cases involving infiltrative tumors with associated edema can be even more problematic. Either edema or tumor infiltration may reduce the anisotropy of involved tracts without destroying them, posing a problem for tractography algorithms designed to terminate when the anisotropy falls below a designated threshold. It is difficult to know, in this setting, how to interpret an apparent loss of fiber trajectories. Relaxing the termination criteria may allow an algorithm to proceed through low-anisotropy regions but this increases the risk of generating spurious tracts because estimates of major eigenvector direction become less reliable for low-anisotropy tensors [39]. Moreover, tumor infiltration may cause tensor directional alterations that are more complex and less predictable than the bulk mass displacements of non-infiltrating tumors [40,41]. Finally, several materials commonly encountered in this clinical population, including hemorrhage, calcification, surgical hardware, and postoperative pneumocephalus, may cause susceptibility artifacts that are especially problematic for echoplanar imaging (EPI), the acquisition method used most commonly for DTI. Such cases would likely benefit from non-EPI acquisition schemes, such as diffusion-weighted fast spin-echo [42].

Conclusion

Further validation of DTI-tractography as a clinically relevant technique is still needed. Studies will have to become more quantitative, include greater numbers of patients, and employ statistical validation. For applications in tissue characterization, correlations with voxel-specific histological data will be critically important (but difficult to obtain). For applications in preoperative planning, correlations with intraoperative findings and postoperative outcomes will be necessary. Further study along these lines is surely forthcoming and more widespread clinical application of DTI-tractography is likely to follow.

References

1. Pajevic S, Pierpaoli C. Color schemes to represent the orientation of anisotropic tissues from diffusion tensor data: application to white matter fiber tract mapping of the human brain. *Magn Reson Med* 1999;42:526-540.
2. Conturo TE, Lori NF, Cull TS, et al. Tracking neuronal fiber pathways in the living human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999;96:10422-10427.
3. Mori S, Crain BJ, Chacko VP, van Zijl PC. Three-dimensional tracking of axonal projections in the brain by magnetic resonance imaging. *Ann Neurol* 1999;45:265-269.
4. Basser PJ, Pajevic S, Pierpaoli C, Duda J, Aldroubi A. In vivo tractography using DT-MRI data. *Magn Reson Med* 2000;44:625-632.
5. Weinstein DM, Kindlmann GL, Lundberg EC. Tensorlines: advection-diffusion based propagation through diffusion tensor fields. In: *Proceedings of IEEE Visualization '99*, San Francisco, CA, October 24-29, 1999.
6. Westin CF, Maier SE, Mamata H, Nabavi A, Jolesz FA, Kikinis R. Processing and visualization for diffusion tensor MRI. *Med Image Anal* 2002;6:93-108.
7. Lazar M, Weinstein DM, Tsuruda JS, et al. White matter tractography using tensor deflection. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2003;18:306-321.
8. Mori S, Kaufmann WE, Davatzikos C, et al. Imaging cortical association tracts in the human brain using diffusion-tensor-based axonal tracking. *Magn Reson Med* 2002;47:215-223.
9. Catani M, Howard RJ, Pajevic S, Jones DK. Virtual in vivo interactive dissection of white matter fasciculi in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 2002;17:77-94.
10. Stieltjes B, Kaufmann WE, van Zijl PCM, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging and axonal tracking in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 2001;14:723-735.
11. Wakana S, Jiang H, Nagae-Poetscher LM, van Zijl PC, Mori S. Fiber tract-based atlas of human white matter anatomy. *Radiology* 2004;230:77-87.
12. Jellison BJ, Field AS, Medow J, Lazar M, Salamat MS, Alexander AL. Diffusion tensor imaging of cerebral white matter: A pictorial review of physics, fiber tract anatomy, and tumor imaging patterns. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2004;25:356-369.
13. Ture U, Yasargil MG, Pait TG. Is there a superior occipitofrontal fasciculus? A microsurgical anatomic study. *Neurosurgery* 1997;40:1226-1232.
14. Holodny AI, Gor DM, Watts R, Gutin PH, Ulug AM. Diffusion-tensor MR tractography of somatotopic organization of corticospinal tracts in the internal capsule: initial anatomic results in contradistinction to prior reports. *Radiology* 2005;234:649-653.
15. Lin X, Tench CR, Morgan PS, Niepel G, Constantinescu CS. 'Importance sampling' in MS: use of diffusion tensor tractography to quantify pathology related to specific impairment. *J Neurol Sci* 2005;237:13-19.
16. Yamada K, Ito H, Nakamura H, et al. Stroke patients' evolving symptoms assessed by tractography. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2004;20:923-929.
17. Konishi J, Yamada K, Kizu O, et al. MR tractography for the evaluation of functional recovery from lenticulostriate infarcts. *Neurology* 2005;64:108-113.
18. Poupon C, Mangin J, Clark CA, et al. Towards inference of human brain connectivity from MR diffusion tensor data. *Med Image Anal* 2001;5:1-15.

19. Roberts TP, Liu F, Kassner A, Mori S, Guha A. Fiber density index correlates with reduced fractional anisotropy in white matter of patients with glioblastoma. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:2183-2186.
20. Lee SK, Kim DI, Kim J, et al. Diffusion-tensor MR imaging and fiber tractography: a new method of describing aberrant fiber connections in developmental CNS anomalies. *Radiographics* 2005;25:53-65.
21. Rollins N. Semilobar holoprosencephaly seen with diffusion tensor imaging and fiber tracking. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:2148-2152.
22. Mori S, Frederiksen K, van Zijl PCM, et al. Brain white matter anatomy of tumor patients evaluated with diffusion tensor imaging. *Ann Neurol* 2002;51:377-380.
23. Clark CA, Barrick TR, Murphy MM, Bell BA. White matter fiber tracking in patients with space-occupying lesions of the brain: a new technique for neurosurgical planning? *Neuroimage* 2003;20:1601-1608.
24. Holodny AI, Ollenschleger MD, Liu WC, Schulder M, Kalnin AJ. Identification of the corticospinal tracts achieved using blood-oxygen-level-dependent and diffusion functional MR imaging in patients with brain tumors. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2001;22:83-88.
25. Moller-Hartmann W, Krings T, Coenen VA, et al. Preoperative assessment of motor cortex and pyramidal tracts in central cavernoma employing functional and diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging. *Surg Neurol* 2002;58:302-307.
26. Hendler T, Pianka P, Sigal M, et al. Delineating gray and white matter involvement in brain lesions: three-dimensional alignment of functional magnetic resonance and diffusion-tensor imaging. *J Neurosurg* 2003;99:1018-1027.
27. Parmar H, Sitoh Y-Y, Yeo TT. Combined magnetic resonance tractography and functional magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of brain tumors involving the motor system. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2004;28:551-556.
28. Henry RG, Berman JI, Nagarajan SS, Mukherjee P, Berger MS. Subcortical pathways serving cortical language sites: initial experience with diffusion tensor imaging fiber tracking combined with intraoperative language mapping. *Neuroimage* 2004;21:616-622.
29. Berman JI, Berger MS, Mukherjee P, Henry RG. Diffusion-tensor imaging-guided tracking of fibers of the pyramidal tract combined with intraoperative cortical stimulation mapping in patients with gliomas. *J Neurosurg* 2004;101:66-72.
30. Ulmer JL, Salvan CV, Mueller WM, et al. The role of diffusion tensor imaging in establishing the proximity of tumor borders to functional brain systems: implications for preoperative risk assessments and postoperative outcomes. *Technol Cancer Res Treat* 2004;3:567-576.
31. Frank LR. Characterization of anisotropy in high angular resolution diffusion-weighted MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2002;47:1083-1099.
32. Alexander DC, Barker GJ, Arridge SR. Detection and modeling of non-Gaussian apparent diffusion coefficient profiles in human brain data. *Magn Reson Med* 2002;48:331-340.
33. Tuch DS, Reese TG, Wiegell MR, Wedeen VJ. Diffusion MRI of complex neural architecture. *Neuron* 2003;40:885-895.
34. Assaf Y, Freidlin RZ, Rohde GK, Basser PJ. New modeling and experimental framework to characterize hindered and restricted water diffusion in brain white matter. *Magn Reson Med* 2004;52:965-978.

35. Wedeen VJ, Hagmann P, Tseng WY, Reese TG, Weisskoff RM. Mapping complex tissue architecture with diffusion spectrum magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;54:1377-1386.
36. Hagmann P, Reese TG, Tseng WYI, Meuli R, Thirian JP, Wedeen VJ. Diffusion spectrum imaging tractography in complex cerebral white matter: an investigation of the centrum semiovale. Proceedings of the ISMRM 12th Scientific Meeting and Exhibition, Kyoto, Japan, May 15-21, 2004;623.
37. Kinoshita M, Yamada K, Hashimoto N, et al. Fiber-tracking does not accurately estimate size of fiber bundle in pathological condition: initial neurosurgical experience using neuronavigation and subcortical white matter stimulation. *Neuroimage* 2005;25:424-429.
38. Nimsky C, Ganslandt O, Hastreiter P, et al. Intraoperative diffusion-tensor MR imaging: shifting of white matter tracts during neurosurgical procedures—initial experience. *Radiology* 2005;234:218-225.
39. Basser PJ, Pajevic S. Statistical artifacts in diffusion tensor MRI (DT-MRI) caused by background noise. *Magn Reson Med* 2000;44:41-50.
40. Field AS, Alexander AL, Wu YC, Hasan KM, Witwer B, Badie B. Diffusion tensor eigenvector directional color imaging patterns in the evaluation of cerebral white matter tracts altered by tumor. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2004;20:555-562.
41. Wu YC, Field AS, Chung MK, Badie B, Alexander AL. Quantitative analysis of diffusion tensor orientation: theoretical framework. *Magn Reson Med* 2004;52:1146-1155.
42. Pipe JG, Farthing VG, Forbes KP. Multishot diffusion-weighted FSE using PROPELLER MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2002;47:42-52.